

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,764	03/09/2004	Allen C. Thompson	10031310-1	4220
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			EXAMINER	
			CROW, ROBERT THOMAS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
		•	•	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence address
The amendment document filed on <u>09 August 2007</u> is considered non-com requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment documer item(s) is required.	
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOG 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	CUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other	
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). 	
 □ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction h showing amended figures, without markings, in complian □ C. Other 	
4. Amendments to the claims:	
A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not pres B. The listing of claims does not include the text of C. Each claim has not been provided with the prop	all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)
	the status of every claim must be indicated after
	is identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended),
(Previously presented), (New), (Not entere amended).	ed), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently
D. The claims of this amendment paper have not be E. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accord	ance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121	1, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendmen filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 	
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a prediction of a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are checked, the correction non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	reliminary amendment, a non-final amendment under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental (c), and an amendment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	non-compliant amendment is a non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendmen filed in response to a Quayle action; or. Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is amendment.	•
Robert T. Crow, Examiner	571-272-1113
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-324 (01-06) Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37	Part of Paper No. 20071012 CFR 1.121)

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The claim amendments contain numerous additions and deletions of text that are not properly underlined or struck-through/bracketed. Line 3 of claim 1 recites "apparatus," wherein the comma after "apparatus" in not underlined as new text but was not present in the previous version of the claim. Claim 1 also recites "said front side" in lines 5 and 7; the word "said" is underlined in both citations, but was present in both citations in the previous version of the claims, and is therefore not new text. In addition, claim 10 recites "wherein thermoplastic substrate" in lines 1-2 pf the claim; however, the previous version included the word "said" in between "wherein" and "thermoplastic." Thus, text has been improperly deleted from the claim.

/Jehanne Sitton/ Primary Examiner 10/12/2007